

(SRI A. G. BANDI GOWDA)

(b) if so, the number of houses for which loan has been sanctioned under the scheme in the said Taluk?

A.—SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Co-operation and Development).—

(a) No.

(b) In view of reply at (a) this does not arise.

**Chair's Ruling** *re*: the use of the word “ ಕೈವಾದ ”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member Sri Muckannappa raised a point as to whether the word 'Kaiwada' is parliamentary or not.

(Sri K. Lakkappa rose.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—What has the Hon'ble Member to say?

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Hebbur).—Nothing, Sir. I do not know.  
(Laughter)

Mr. SPEAKER.—One thing I would request the Hon'ble Members to remember is this: With an empty mind nobody should get up and talk. If one does not know one's own mind, it would be better if one does not get up at all; otherwise it would be most embarrassing.

I suppose the Hon'ble Members have already got a copy of the report of the Business transacted during the first budget sessions of the Mysore Legislative Assembly, 1962. I am telling you this for the reason that this point is more or less like the one about which I gave a ruling on the 26th March 1962 when the word 'Shameless' was used. In that book on page 26, I have given the ruling as to whether that word is Parliamentary or Unparliamentary. The word by itself cannot be said to be Parliamentary or Unparliamentary, unless it contains some insinuations. (Addressing Sri C. J. Muckannappa) My ruling on your point of order is that the word by itself may not be unparliamentary. But, it must certainly be not used in uttering something bad. If the word is used in that context, I overrule the whole question. Abuse of a word makes the whole thing unparliamentary.

---

## PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

9-30 A.M.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance).—Sir, I beg to lay the Supplementary Estimates for 1962-63 (Second instalment), as required under Article 205 (1) of the Constitution of India.

---